Risk Mitigating Collar Strategy

Option-Based Risk Management In a Multi-Asset World

OIC The Options Industry Council

www.OptionsEducation.org

About OIC

The Options Industry Council (OIC) is an industry resource provided by OCC, the world's largest equity derivatives clearing organization. Its mission is to provide free and unbiased education to retail investors, financial advisors and institutions about the benefits and risks of exchange-listed options. OIC offers education which includes webinars, online classes, podcasts, videos, investing tools, and live help. OIC's Roundtable is OIC's advisory group and is comprised of representatives from the exchanges, member brokerage firms and OCC. For more information on the educational services OIC provides for investors, visit www.OptionsEducation.org.

May 2012

Summary of

Option-Based Risk Management

in a Multi-Asset World

By Edward Szado and Thomas Schneeweis (April 2012)¹

The Options Industry Council (OIC), as part of its mission to provide education and research to institutional investors, helped sponsor a paper on the performance of the collar strategy against a range of Exchange Traded Funds (ETF). The book was authored by Edward Szado, Research Analyst and Thomas Schneeweis, Professor of Finance, Isenberg School of Management, University of Massachusetts. Research support was provided by OIC, a service of OCC. Research results, however, represent those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of the OIC.

The 2008 financial crisis and the associated decline in equity markets rekindled interest in optionbased equity collars as well as in protective investment strategies in general. Many asset classes which were generally considered effective equity diversifiers faced significant losses during the crisis. The contagion across asset classes during the financial crisis suggests that protective optionbased investment strategies such as collars, when implemented on a wide range of asset classes, could provide portfolios with greater downside risk protection than standard multi-asset diversification programs. In the recent book, <u>Option-Based Risk Management in a Multi-Asset World</u>, Szado and Schneeweis extend their previous research on the performance of equity-based collar strategies (Szado and Schneeweis [2010])² by considering the impact of collar strategies across a wide range of asset classes, including equity, currency, commodity, fixed income and real estate. The results of the analysis show that for most of the asset classes considered, an optionbased collar strategy, using six-month put purchases and consecutive one-month call writes, provides improved risk-adjusted performance and significant risk reduction.

Figure 1 and Exhibit 1 illustrate the benefit of an equity collar strategy on the popular SPDR® S&P 500® (SPY) ETF. Over the 55 month study period ending December 30, 2011, the 2% out-of-money (OTM) passive SPY collar returned over 22% (4.5% annually), while the long SPY experienced a loss of over 9% (-2.1% annually). The collar earns its superior returns with less than half the risk as measured by the standard deviation (8.4% for the collar versus 19.5% for SPY). One of the most telling statistics supporting the potential benefit of equity collar protection is the maximum drawdown. During the study period, SPY experienced a maximum loss of 50.8% while the 2% OTM collar reduced this negative performance by 4/5 to a maximum loss of 11.1%.

¹ *Edward Szado, Doctoral Candidate, Isenberg School of Management, Research Analyst at CISDM, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003.*Thomas Schneeweis, Michael and Cheryl Philipp Professor of Finance, Director of CISDM, Isenberg School of Management, University of Massachusetts, Amherst. Please address correspondence to Edward Szado, CISDM, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003, 413-577-3166, or email: <u>eszado@cisdm.org</u>

² Szado, Edward and Thomas Schneeweis, "Loosening Your Collar – Alternative Implementations of QQQ Collars," *Journal of Trading,* Spring 2010, Vol. 5, No. 2, pp. 35-56. The full 2010 paper and summary are also available at: <u>http://www.optionseducation.org/news/research_articles.html#qqqcollar</u>



Figure 1: Growth of \$100 SPY 1-Month Call/6-Month Put Balanced Collars

Exhibit 1: Summary Statistics SPY 1-Month Call/6-Month Put Balanced Collars

SPY Collar Summary Statistics June 1, 2007 to Dec. 30, 2011	SPY Total Return	ATM 1-Month Call, ATM 6-Month Put	2% OTM 1-Month Call, 2% OTM 6-Month Put	5% OTM 1-Month Call, 5% OTM 6-Month Put
Annualized Return	-2.14%	3.48%	4.47%	3.03%
Annualized Standard Deviation	19.46%	6.68%	8.37%	10.42%
Mean Monthly Return	-0.02%	0.30%	0.39%	0.29%
Median Monthly Return	0.01%	0.41%	0.57%	-0.03%
Period Cumulative Return	-9.45%	16.95%	22.17%	14.64%
Sharpe Ratio	-0.16	0.36	0.41	0.19
Maximum Drawdown	-50.80%	-8.99%	-11.13%	-19.81%
Maximum Run Up	93.38%	20.76%	35.85%	48.81%
% Down Months	47%	40%	36%	51%
% Up Months	53%	60%	64%	49%
Number of Months	55	55	55	55
Months in Cash		0	0	0
Months in Collar		55	55	55

In their book, Szado and Schneeweis evaluated the impact of collar strategies across a wide range of asset classes (See Exhibit 2) based on a set of trading rules with defined initial moneyness where a 6-month put is purchased and consecutive 1-month calls are written. At the close on the day before the Saturday expiration of the calls and depending on the particular passive implementation. the initial moneyness of the calls and puts were set at: 25%, 10%, 5%, or 2% OTM or at-the-money (ATM). At expiration, the calls were settled at intrinsic value and new 1-month calls with the specified moneyness were sold. The longer term put is held for another month. When the new 1-month calls are written, the net proceeds from the sale of the calls and the exercise value of the previous calls are fully invested in the strategy. The position is rebalanced to ensure a 1:1:1 ratio of the underlying ETF, the puts and the calls. Once the 6-month put expires, it is settled at intrinsic value and again rolled into new puts and calls with the specified moneyness and time to expiration. In order to include the impact of transaction costs, the puts are purchased at the ask price and the calls are written at the bid price when the options are established. A change was made from the previous paper's methodology² to avoid circumstances where the underlying prices decline significantly and new calls would have then been written at lower (crossed) strike prices than the existing deep in-the-money (ITM) puts. In these cases long put and long underlying positions would counteract each other and the new call would essentially be written naked. Since writing naked calls is inconsistent with the risk reduction purpose of the collar strategy, the new methodology implemented a rule to roll the puts to the strategy's target moneyness based on the current underlying price on the day the new short call position was initiated. The put sale was rolled at the mid-point between the bid and ask and the new put is purchased at the ask price.

The bid-offer spread portion of transaction/trading costs can have a significant impact on optionbased trading strategies. For instance, the financial crisis featured significant fear, resulting in declines in liquidity and at times included option spreads exceeding 10% of the underlying price. In contrast to their earlier paper (Szado and Schneeweis [2010]²), when bid-ask spreads at the initialization of option trades are greater than 1% of the underlying price, the collar strategies presented in this book follow a rule whereby the position (long underlying ETF, long put and short call) is liquidated and the proceeds are invested fully in a cash like ETF (iShares Barclays 1-3 year Treasury Bond Fund - SHY). The underlying and the collar position were reestablished at month-end when the bid-ask spread again fell below 1%. Conceptually if the cost of implementing a collar becomes too high, investors may either consider remaining unprotected or liquidating the entire position. During the period analyzed, only four ETF collars were impacted by this rule. The Emerging Market Index (EEM) and Canadian dollar (FXC) strategies were liquidated into cash (SHY) for one month each. But High Yield Corp. Bond (HYG) and GSCI Commodity Trust (GSG) were transferred into cash for 5 and 9 months respectively (see Exhibit 2).

Exhibit 2: summary statistics 5% OTM 1-Month Call/6-	6 OTM 1-N	Month Call/	6-Month Put	.Month Put Collars (study period 55 months except GLD*)	y period 55 m	onths excep	t GLD*)			
	ETF	ETF	5% OTM collar	ETF	5% OTM collar	ETF	5% OTM collar	ETF	5% OTM Collar Months	Months
	Symbol	Annualized	Annualized	Annualized Std	Annualized Std	Cumulative	Cumulative	Maximum	Maximum	in Cash
		Return	Return	Deviation	Deviation	Return	Return	drawdown	drawdown	
Emerging Market Index - iShares	EEM	-0.43%	5.87%	30.95%	14.05%	-1.97%	29.88%	-60.44%	-17.61%	1
EAFE Index - iShares MSCI	EFA	-7.32%	-3.66%	24.52%	12.24%	-29.43%	-15.73%	-57.38%	-31.84%	0
Australian Dlr Tr CurrencyShares	FXA	8.96%	5.24%	18.24%	10.92%	48.16%	26.36%	-31.75%	-18.82%	0
British Pound Tr CurrencyShares	FXB	-3.98%	-2.78%	10.78%	7.00%	-16.99%	-12.13%	-28.09%	-18.41%	0
Canadian Dlr Tr CurrencyShares	FXC	1.95%	2.84%	12.66%	8.19%	9.26%	13.67%	-23.74%	-9.13%	1
Euro Tr CurrencyShares	FXE	0.31%	0.73%	13.62%	9.27%	1.43%	3.40%	-21.19%	-13.20%	0
Swiss Franc Tr CurrencyShares	FXF	6.09%	6.63%	14.70%	9.51%	31.10%	34.20%	-17.65%	-10.37%	0
Japanese Yen Tr CurrencyShares	FXY	10.14%	8.77%	10.37%	8.13%	55.69%	47.00%	-9.36%	%62'2-	0
Gold Tr SPDR *	GLD	15.64%	8.80%	22.82%	13.65%	66.29%	34.35%	-21.95%	-11.30%	0
GSCI Commodity Tr S&P	SSG	-4.74%	2.62%	28.83%	11.37%	-19.95%	12.59%	-67.85%	-19.34%	ъ
Hi Yield Corp. Bond -iBoxx	ЭЛН	5.29%	0.54%	17.01%	7.26%	26.63%	2.50%	-30.28%	-15.30%	6
Russell 2000 - iShares	INM	-1.51%	-0.09%	25.13%	14.17%	-6.72%	-0.42%	-52.42%	-23.87%	0
DJ US Real Estate - iShares	IYR	-4.02%	-1.46%	33.27%	13.33%	-17.14%	-6.51%	-67.89%	-32.58%	0
NASDAQ 100 - PowerShares	QQQ	4.25%	1.75%	22.79%	13.16%	21.01%	8.28%	-49.74%	-28.80%	0
S&P 500 - SPDR	SPY	-2.14%	3.03%	19.46%	10.42%	-9.45%	14.64%	-50.80%	-19.81%	0
Barclays 20+ Treasury Bond - iShares TLT	ТLТ	12.29%	5.85%	16.85%	10.97%	70.12%	29.76%	-21.80%	-17.02%	0
U.S. Oil Fund - U.S. Commodity Funds USO	OSU	-5.32%	5.19%	37.79%	17.03%	-22.16%	26.11%	-76.20%	-33.76%	0
* The inception date for the GLD ETF was November 18, 2004, with option data available from June 20, 2008. Study period = 42 months	vember 18, 2	004, with option	data available fro	om June 20, 2008.	Study period = 42	months				

Conclusions: In contrast to earlier studies which concentrated on equity markets, Szado and Schneeweis (2012) provide extensive analysis of the performance of collar strategies over a diverse set of asset classes including equities, currencies, commodities, fixed income, and real estate. Their study covers the period from June 1, 2007 to December 30, 2011, except for the GLD ETF which begins on July 1, 2008, the first full month after the June 20, 2008 inception of GLD option trading. The period of study was chosen to capture both the financial crisis as well as the following market recovery. The risk reduction and drawdown protection ability is evident in the results across all asset classes analyzed. As Exhibit 2 shows, the results are somewhat mixed from a total return perspective. Two currencies (Australian Dollar and Japanese Yen), the two bond ETFs (HYG & TLT) and the QQQ and GLD had higher cumulative returns than any of the collar iterations. The analysis suggests that with respect to total returns, option-based collar strategies tend to outperform when drawdowns are more aggressive and tend to underperform in periods of extreme run-ups. More importantly, while option-based collars may not provide complete protection for all products and in all market conditions, collars can provide significant risk control across a wide range of asset classes, significantly reducing volatility, drawdowns, and, in certain market environments, can also provide enhanced returns relative to a stand-alone investment.

To download the complete book:

http://www.optionseducation.org/documents/literature/files/options-based-risk-mgmt.pdf

REFERENCES

Callan Associates Inc., "Profit/Loss an Historical Evaluation of the CBOE S&P 500 Buy-Write Index Strategy," Callan Associates Inc., Oct 2006

Feldman, Barry, and Dhruv Roy, "Passive Options-Based Investment Strategies: The Case of the CBOE S&P 500 Buy Write Index," Ibbotson Associates, July 28, 2004

Hill, Joanne M., Venkatesh Balasubramanian, Krag (Buzz) Gregory, and Ingrid Tierens, "Finding Alpha via Covered Call Writing," Financial Analysts Journal, Sept/Oct 2006, pp. 27-46

Kapadia, Nikunj and Edward Szado, "The Risk Return Characteristics of the Buy-Write Strategy on the Russell 2000 Index," Journal of Alternative Investments, Spring 2007, pp. 39-56

Schneeweis, Thomas and Richard B Spurgin., "The Benefits of Index Option-Based Strategies for Institutional Portfolios," Journal of Alternative Investments, Spring 2001, pp. 44-53

Spurgin, R., "How to Game your Sharpe Ratio," Journal of Alternative Investments, Winter 2001, pp. 38-46 Szado, Edward and Hossein Kazemi "Collaring the Cube: Protection Options for a QQQ ETF Portfolio," Journal of Alternative Investments, Spring 2009, pp. 24-42

Szado, Edward and Thomas Schneeweis "Option Based Risk Management in a Multi-Asset World," 2012.

Ungar, J., and M.T. Moran, "The Cash-secured Put-Write Strategy and Performance of Related Benchmark Indexes," Journal of Alternative Investments, Spring 2009, pp. 43-56

Whaley, Robert E., "Return and Risk of CBOE Buy Write Monthly Index," The Journal of Derivatives Winter 2002, pp. 35-42 Options involve risks and are not suitable for everyone. Individuals should not enter into options transactions until they have read and understood the risk disclosure document, Characteristics and Risks of Standardized Options, available by visiting OptionsEducation.org or by contacting your broker, any exchange on which options are traded, or The Options Clearing Corporation at 125 S. Franklin St., #1200, Chicago, IL 60606. In order to simplify the calculations used in the examples in these materials, commissions, fees, margin, interest and taxes have not been included. These costs will impact the outcome of any stock and options transactions and must be considered prior to entering into any transactions. Investors should consult their tax advisor about any potential tax consequences.

Any strategies discussed, including examples using actual securities and price data, are strictly for illustrative and educational purposes and should not be construed as an endorsement, recommendation, or solicitation to buy or sell securities. Past performance is not a guarantee of future results.

Copyright © 2020. The Options Clearing Corporation. All rights reserved.

OIC The Options Industry Council

125 S. Franklin St, #1200

Chicago, Illinois 60606

options@theocc.com

www.OptionsEducation.org

© The Options Industry Council